Councillors Allison, Beacham, Bloch, Engert, Erskine, Hare, Jenks, Newton and

Solomon

Also Councillor Canver

present:

Apologies Councillor Davies, Scott and Williams

MH21. AREA FORUM AGENDA ITEMS

Informal notes to follow

MH22. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received on behalf of Councillors Davies, Scott and Williams.

NOTED

MH23. URGENT BUSINESS

The Committee Services Manager advised that there were no additional items of urgent business notified.

The Chair referred to the agenda item in relation to the Localism Bill, in the Forum part of the proceedings and the concerns expressed by Members at the lack of attendance by the Planning Service – to speak on the implications of the Localism Act on the existing planning legislation.

The Chair advised the Committee that it was her intention to write to the Planning Service to express these concerns at the lack of attendance. Councillor Allison commented on the progress in Highgate Ward with cross-borough co-operation with LB Camden and Camden's efforts to progress and advise of implications of the Localism Act – and planning issues – with attendance at the recent focus group by Camden's Cabinet Member for planning, and their senior planner in respect of the establishment of Neighbourhood Forums. Councillor Allison added that there had been a lack of information or forward thinking on LB Haringey's part and that despite numerous enquiries and seeking clarification the response had been that Haringey was 'thinking about it'. Councillor Allison also advised of the good working relationship and cross-boundary co-operation between Councillors of Camden and Haringey, albeit bellied by the lack of information or support from Haringey. She stressed that this was an important issue and there needed to be some progress on Haringey's part or else Camden would be taking the lead.

Following the Committee's collective concerns echoing those of the Chair and Councillor Allison, it was agreed that the Chair and Councillor Allison would jointly draft a letter to be sent to the Chief Executive, and the Director of Place and

Sustainability. In response to comments of Councillor Solomon the Chair advised that in respect of cross-borough meetings with LB Barnet it would be for the Alexandra Ward Councillors to progress this and make contact etc with their Barnet counterparts and that when the letter from the Chair and Cllr Alison received a reply it should inform the situation for all cross-border collaborations.

The Chair then summarised and it was:

RESOLVED

that the Chair and Councillor Allison jointly draft a letter to be sent to the Chief Executive, and the Director of Place and Sustainability expressing concerns at the lack of attendance by the Planning Service – to speak on the implications of the Localism Act on the existing planning legislation, and the lack of forthcoming information as to LB Haringey's intentions in relation to the those implications, as well as the issue of cross-borough working particularly in terms of planning enforcement, and Neighbourhood Forums.

MH24. DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

Nil

MH25. QUESTIONS, DEPUTATIONS OR PETITIONS : TO CONSIDER ANY QUESTIONS, DEPUTATIONS OR PETITIONS RECEIVED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PART 4, SECTION B29 OF THE COUNCIL'S CONSTITUTION

Nil

MH26. MINUTES

RESOLVED

That the minutes of the meeting held on 15 September 2011 be approved and signed by the Chair.

At this point in the proceedings the Chair advised that the order of business of the agenda would be varied to next consider agenda Item 8 Fortnightly Non-recyclable Rubbish Collections.

NOTED

MH27. FORTNIGHTLY NON-RECYCLABLE RUBBISH COLLECTIONS

The Chair asked Councillor Canver – Cabinet member for Environment to give an introduction of proposals.

Councillor Canver commented that the Forum debate/discussion on the proposals had in her view been good in terms of public understanding and concerns. Whilst acknowledging that the proposals would be challenging for some residents there was

commitment on the Council's behalf to increase recycling wherever possible across the whole Borough. Councillor Canver hoped that people would work with the Council and also to express views on the positives and negatives of the proposals. In terms of sizes of bins and physical capacity there would be ways of providing smaller bins etc for people. Councillor Canver hoped that when individuals received notification by letter of the new bin implementation they would contact the Council with their requirements if they desired smaller bins.

The Chair thanked Councillor Canver for her comments and advised that the Lib Dem Ward Councillors from the four wards had carried out their own survey in terms of the proposals and asked that Councillor Jenks comment on the outcome.

Councillor Jenks advised that in terms of the proposals he would MOVE the following resolution:-

'This Committee is opposed to fortnightly collections until or unless such time as the Council provides clear evidence showing first, that residents support a change to fortnightly collections, and second that they do actually achieve the benefits on which any such proposals are based'

Councillor Jenks commented that it should be made clear that he and the Lib/Dem Group were 100% in favour of increasing recycling rates, and that in the past Lib/Dem Councillors had criticised the Council for its failure to deliver on this. Councillor Jenks advised that whilst the Council might claim that it had consulted on this matter, its 2009 consultation made no mention of fortnightly collection, with the nearest to this was 36% of respondents wanted bigger containers and 17% of respondents believed education to be the key to recycling.

Councillor Jenks reported that in the absence of any proper Council consultation a survey was carried out by Liberal Democrats in wards in the west of Haringey and received_1100 responses with 86% of those responding opposed to fortnightly collection. The rates opposing for wards within this Committee were 86% for Alexandra, and Fortis Green, 85% for Muswell Hill, and 93% for Highgate. 78% of people responding had not been aware about fortnightly collections and 92% of people who responded felt that they should have been consulted.

.

Councillor Jenks further stated that at the very least this identified a great deal of unease within the Borough about the fortnightly rubbish collection being imposed. Councillor Jenks commented that the Council argued that fortnightly collection would save money. The saving in operational costs was stated as £300,000 per year, with the Council arguing that a £600,000 per year saving can come about as a result of waste recycled as a result of reduced disposal costs.

Councillor Jenks referred to the Government offer of £250 million to assist local authorities to keep weekly rubbish collections and Haringey Council should be applying for this when the prospectus was published. Also better recycling information on what could/could not be recycled, and better communication education, motivation, and capacity to enable more recycling.

Councillor Jenks concluded that Hackney had just done a trial in one of its wards with orange sacks replacing green boxes, and it was discovered that residents preferred this by a ratio of over three to one and it also increased recycling rates by 27%. LB

Haringey could have also discovered alternatives if it had run a pilot and if Haringey had bothered to consult properly they may have thought twice about the size of bins. The standard size was 240 litres so there would be two of these large bins in each front garden. Councillor Jenks advised that he had asked the Council if people could have smaller bins such as 120 litre or180 litre bins if they want them and the answer at the 12th December Overview and Scrutiny Committee from the Cabinet Member for the Environment was "not in the first instance". One of the self evident facts about rubbish collection was that all residents had different needs, and smaller bins might be ideal for some people.

The Chair thanked Councillor Jenks for his comments and asked if there were further comments.

Councillor Canver commented that it was not appropriate for the MOTION to have been raised at this meeting, but rather at Full Council as the MOTION was wholly political and was not reflective in the least of the vast majority of the comments expressed during the Forum part of the discussion and therefore wholly inappropriate.

A resident who had been present in the Forum part of the proceedings intervened from the floor to comment that in her view the MOTION was not representative of the general view of the Forum and not correct in its sentiment.

Councillor Bloch commented that it was not appropriate for Councillor Canver to make such comments and she should only speak if invited to do so, and asked her not to interrupt the proceedings.

Following a brief exchange of comments between Committee Members and Councillor Canver the Chair asked that Councillor Canver desist from interrupting the proceedings when not asked to speak, and the Committee to concentrate on expressing their specific views, and added that the survey results were on a large scale in terms responses and views expressed.

Councillors Allison and Hare, asked that the wording of the resolution be varied to also seek from the Council that (i) measures are taken to deal with smelly waste, and (ii) clear options on the size of bins are clarified and offered, at the outset.

In clarification to a point raised as to whether it was possible for fish and meat/poultry bones to be recycled Councillor Canver responded that these items could now be recycled.

The Chair drew the discussions to a close and summarised. The MOTION as detailed in the resolution was put to the vote and passed nemine contradicente with the exception of the Chair who did not use her casting vote.

RESOLVED

This Committee is opposed to fortnightly collections until or unless such time as the Council provides clear evidence showing first, that residents support a change to fortnightly collections, and second that they do actually achieve the benefits on which any such proposals are based, and that this resolution also seeks from the Council that (i) measures are taken to deal with smelly waste, and (ii) clear options on the size of bins are clarified and offered, at the outset.

The Chair would write to the Cabinet member forwarding the resolution for her consideration and response.

MH28. DRAFT AREA COMMITTEE PLAN

The Chair reiterated her comments expressed during the Forum part of the proceedings in respect of the disappointment of Fortis Green and Muswell Hill cllrs that the Council withdrew their backing for the imaginative and well-supported bid from Muswell Hill Traders for round two of the Mayors Outer London Fund. The bid included a piazza outside the Odeon site and would have given a well-deserved boost to the local shopping centre.

In response to clarification the Chair advised that the reason for the withdrawal had been given as a Council decision to just support the bid from Tottenham for the fund and to withdraw support for the bids from Muswell Hill and Green Lanes.

In respect of the draft area plan the Chair asked that officers incorporate any comments given during the Forum part of the meeting, and subsequently to this evening, and circulate the plan to all attendees with any comments to be fed back to Zoe Swanson – Senior Project Manager - Frontline Services, prior to the next stage of the consultation in February (see timetable p6 of the Forum agenda papers).

RESOLVED

that officers incorporate any comments given during the Forum part of the meeting, and subsequently to this evening, and circulate the plan to all attendees with any further comments to be fed back to Zoe Swanson – Senior Project Manager - Frontline Services, and that the draft area plan progressed in line with the timetable 3.1 p6 of the Forum Agenda.

MH29. NEIGHBOURHOOD ACTION TEAMS

The Chair advised that following the succinct presentation during the Forum part of the meeting, in respect of the Neighbourhood Action Team (NATs) the Committee would look forward to having the allocated NATs officers for the geographical Forum Area attending the Forum on 29 March 2012, and that their presence at the surgery part of the meeting would also be most beneficial.

The Chair also welcomed and endorsed the suggestion of the NATs carrying out 'Ward Walk abouts'.

RESOLVED

That in respect of the newly established Neighbourhood Action Team (NATs) the allocated NATs officers for the geographical Forum Area attend the Forum on 29 March 2012, and that their presence at the surgery part of the meeting would also be most beneficial, and that the suggested introduction of the NATs carrying out 'Ward Walk abouts' be endorsed.

MH30. LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN / FUNDING AND HOW THE AREA COMMITTEE CAN FEED INTO AND MAKE CHANGES/ADDITIONS TO THIS -

In the absence of officers to introduce the item the Chair commented that in terms of the local implementation plan (LIP) funding and how the Area Committee can feed into and make changes/additions to this - this particularly related to areas such as road safety, cycle routes, moving bus stops, new bus routes, road and footway maintenance, and de-cluttering of pavements. The Chair commented that in terms of distribution of funds the 4 wards of this Committee/Forum represented almost a quarter of the Borough and yet only a small proportion of the total amount was spent there.

In terms of where monies could be spent within the LIP the Chair suggested previous resident requested examples such as quality Footway replacement in Muswell Hill shopping centre and Bakers Lane Gyratory system replacement and also the Muswell Hill roundabout to Turnpike Lane corridor which had been a reserve project in the draft 2010 LIP.could be appropriate projects to receive funding.

Councillor Solomon suggested Colney Hatch Lane and Alexandra Park Road road junction system as a potential LIP item, and Councillor Hare referred to previous requests to the Council for TFL funding to replace the Bakers Lane gyratory system.

The Chair advised that the next Forum/Committee would concentrate on the LIP funding as a main agenda item.

Councillor Newton referred to page 45 of the agenda pack and the reference to footway resurfacing being carried out in Fortis Green and Muswell Hill wards and commented that clarification was needed as to where this work was being carried out.

The Chair summarised and it was:

RESOLVED

- i. that at the next Forum/Committee on 29 March 2012 there would be an item on both parts of the meeting in respect of Local Improvement Plans incorporating in particular those items raised during the discussion; and
- ii. that clarification be sought as to the locations of the footway resurfacing in Fortis Green and Muswell Hill wards as referred to in page 45 of the circulated report.

MH31. AREA COMMITTEES POWERS TO MAKE BY-LAWS.

The Chair advised that she had been contacted by a local resident in respect of possibly having a by-law for people wearing safety clothing when cycling. The Chair advised that a brief discussion with the Clerk to the Committee had highlighted whether such a proposal would actually be by-law or an 'order' from the Highways Authority.

The Chair felt it would be appropriate for the matter of by-laws be investigated further and asked for, and received confirmation from Councillors Bloch and Solomon that they would investigate this issue and report further.

NOTED

MH32. TO NOTE THE REMAINING DATE OF THE FORUM/COMMITTEE FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE YEAR 2011/12

29 March 2012 – venue Alexandra Park School (TBC)

Provisional Agenda items

- Planning Enforcement
- Neighbourhood Action Teams
- Feedback progress on the Localism Bill (particularly Highgate and cross boundary issues)
- Local Implementation Plans

MH33. ANY OTHER URGENT BUSINESS

Nil.

There being no further business the meeting ended at 21.29hrs.

CIIr Engert

Chair